

WORLD CAFE, 19/06/2014

Private partners

I. Thesis

Greater collaboration is necessary between the private sector and the 'SPF Intérieur' (Federal Public Service Home Affairs).

« Insurers must give better preventative information to their customers »

- What can the private sector do for the prevention policy? Will the citizens accept this collaboration?
- Should architects receive more security training?
- No insurance without registration of items of value
- The more a home is secured, the greater the reductions in insurance premiums.
- Would it not be a good idea to see private security companies patrolling with the police?

II. Discussion

Definition

Difficulties for participants in defining the term "private sector".

For the majority, we understand "private partners" to refer to the following partners: businesses, traders, security companies, insurance companies, the various trades (locksmiths, carpenters, frame fitters, alarm installers...), different professions (doctors, pharmacists,...), communications companies,...

For some, we can also include architect schools, architects, hospitals, social housing companies.

Finally, for a small minority, we should also consider the citizen as a private partner.

III. Conclusion

Need for the SPF Intérieur to conclude structural partnerships with some key stakeholders who may be involved in the fight against burglaries.

The key private stakeholders are as follows: insurance companies, architects, locksmiths, the construction sector and traders.

IV. Action points

With regard to the insurance sector

- Need for better collaboration between FPS Home affairs and insurers
- Need for insurers to provide their clients, at the time of signing the insurance contract, with better information on possible preventive measures to take
- Need for more coherence between the advice of insurance advisers who advocate more alarms or video surveillance and the advice from theft prevention advisors who advocate preventative technology.
- Need for FPS Home affairs to inform insurers about the various modus operandi that burglars use without breaking in (ex: lock picking, false key, lost or stolen key, bumping,...). In fact, if insurers do not note a break-in, they refuse to compensate.
- Need for an exchange of statistical data between federal police and insurers

Regarding the locksmiths sector

- Need to meet with this sector because we currently find all sorts there. Indeed, there is no proper access to the profession nor any requirement for a qualification.

Regarding traders (electrical retailers)

- Need to work with them so that they pass on preventative messages to their customers when equipment is purchased. For example, on the traceability of items (registration of valuables, IMEI numbers, new applications that exist)

Regarding architects

- Need to educate this profession in terms of preventative technology
- Need to discuss with the academic world the inclusion of 2 to 4 hours within the curriculum on preventative technology

Regarding the construction sector

- Need to develop a security label for all new constructions. This label need not be mandatory or binding.



With financial support from the Prevention of and Fight against Crime Programme of the
European Union
European Commission – Directorate-General Home Affairs

Legal notice

The contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the official opinions of any EU Member State or any agency or institution of the European Union or European Communities.